Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's third motion to obtain a copy of the original complaint, filed March 13, 2020. (ECF No. 17.) As in his first motion, Plaintiff argues that he requires a copy of the original complaint, with all supporting exhibits, in order to comply with the Court's order for him to file a signed a complaint. (Id.) However, as noted above and in the Court's order denying his first motion to obtain a copy of the original complaint, Plaintiff has already submitted a properly signed complaint in this action, which was filed as the First Amended Complaint in this action on February 14, 2020. (ECF No. 6.) As such, Plaintiff has not provided a reason for the Court to provide him with a free copy of the original complaint.

Moreover, Plaintiff is reminded for the third time that the Clerk of the Court does not ordinarily provide free copies of case documents to parties, and Plaintiff is responsible for maintaining his own records for this proceeding. Further, even if Plaintiff were proceeding in forma pauperis in this action, that status would not entitle him to free copies of documents from the Court. E.g., Hullom v. Kent, 262 F.2d 862, 863 (6th Cir. 1959.) The Clerk charges \$0.50 per page for copies of documents. See 28 U.S.C. § 1914(b). Copies of up to twenty pages may be made by the Clerk's Office at this Court upon written request, prepayment of the copy fees, and submission of a large, self-addressed stamped envelope.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's third motion for a copy of the original complaint, (ECF No. 17), is HEREBY DENIED. Further motions to obtain free copies of documents in this action that do not present new or different circumstances demonstrating good cause for the request will be summarily denied.

21

IT IS SO ORDERED.

23

Dated: March 18, 2020

/s/Barbara A. McAuli UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25

24

26

27

28